### Compromise AMs - JURI AI Act - FINAL (30/08/2022)

CA 1(scope)

Recital 1

Recital 4

Recital 13

Recital 16

Recitai 16

Recital 28 Recital 57b new

Article 1

Article 2 (except §3 which is out of the compromise)

#### Commission JURI COMPs

Recital (1) The purpose of this Regulation is to improve the functioning of the internal market by laying down a uniform legal framework in particular for the development, marketing and use of artificial intelligence in conformity with Union values. This Regulation pursues a number of overriding reasons of public interest, such as a high level of protection of health, safety and fundamental rights, and it ensures the free movement of Al-based goods and services cross-border, thus preventing Member States from imposing restrictions on the development, marketing and use of Al systems, unless explicitly authorised by this Regulation.

Recital (4) At the same time, depending on the circumstances regarding its specific application and use, artificial intelligence may generate risks and cause harm to public interests and rights that are protected by Union law. Such harm might be material or immaterial.

Recital (13) In order to ensure a consistent and high level of protection of public interests as regards health, safety *and*-fundamental rights, common normative standards for all high-risk AI systems should be established. Those standards should be consistent with the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union (the Charter) and should be non-

Recital (1) The purpose of this Regulation is to improve the functioning of the internal market by laying down a uniform legal framework in particular for the development, marketing and use of artificial intelligence in conformity with Union *principles and democratic* values. This Regulation pursues a number of overriding reasons of public interest, such as a high level of protection of health, safety and fundamental rights, and it ensures the free movement of Albased goods and services cross-border, thus preventing Member States from imposing restrictions on the development, marketing and use of Al systems, unless explicitly authorised by this Regulation.

Recital (4) At the same time, depending on the circumstances regarding its specific application and use, artificial intelligence may generate risks and cause harm to public interests and rights that are protected by Union law. Such harm might be material or immaterial and might affect a person, a group of persons or society as a whole. (AM 325 S&D)

Recital (13) In order to ensure a consistent and high level of protection of public interests as regards health, safety, *and*-fundamental rights *and the environment*, common normative standards for all high-risk AI systems should be established. Those standards should be consistent with the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union (the Charter), *the* 

discriminatory and in line with the Union's international *trade* commitments.

Recital (16) The placing on the market, putting into service or use of certain AI systems intended to distort human behaviour, whereby physical or psychological harms are likely to occur, should be forbidden. Such AI systems deploy subliminal components individuals cannot perceive or exploit vulnerabilities of children and people due to their age, physical or mental incapacities. They do so with the intention to materially distort the behaviour of a person and in a manner that causes or is likely to cause harm to that or another person. The intention may not be presumed if the distortion of human behaviour results from factors external to the AI system which are outside of the control of the provider or the user. Research for legitimate purposes in relation to such AI systems should not be stifled by the prohibition, if such research does not amount to use of the AI system in humanmachine relations that exposes natural persons to harm and such research is carried out in accordance with recognised ethical standards for scientific research.

Recital (28) Al systems could produce adverse outcomes to health and safety of persons, in particular when such systems operate as components of products. Consistently with the objectives of Union harmonisation legislation to facilitate the free movement of products in the internal market and to ensure that only safe and otherwise compliant products find their way into the market, it is important that the safety risks that may be generated by a product as a whole due to its digital components, including Al systems, are duly prevented and mitigated. For instance, increasingly autonomous robots, whether in the context of

European Green Deal (The Green Deal) and the Joint Declaration on Digital Rights of the Union (the Declaration) and should be non-discriminatory and in line with the Union's international trade-commitments. (AM 335 S&D, 337 Greens, 338 Left)

Recital (16) The placing on the market, putting into service or use of certain AI systems intended to distort human behaviour, whereby physical or psychological harms are likely to occur, should be forbidden. Such AI systems deploy subliminal components individuals cannot perceive or exploit vulnerabilities of children and people due to their age, physical or mental incapacities. They do so with the intention to materially distort the behaviour of a person and in a manner that causes or is likely to cause harm to that or another person. The intention may not be presumed if the distortion of human behaviour results from factors external to the AI system which are outside of the control of the provider or the user. Research for legitimate purposes in relation to such AI systems should not be stifled by the prohibition, if such research does not amount to use of the AI system in non-supervised human-machine relations that exposes natural persons to harm and such research is carried out in accordance with recognised ethical standards for scientific research. If necessary and in accordance with this Regulation, further flexibilities in order to foster research, and thereby European innovation capacities, should be introduced by Member States. (AM 345 Greens)

Recital (28) AI systems could produce adverse outcomes to health and safety of persons, in particular when such systems operate as components of products. Consistently with the objectives of Union harmonisation legislation to facilitate the free movement of products in the internal market and to ensure that only safe and otherwise compliant products find their way into the market, it is important that the safety risks that may be generated by a product as a whole due to its digital components, including AI systems, are duly prevented and mitigated. For instance, increasingly autonomous robots, whether in the context of

manufacturing or personal assistance and care should be able to safely operate and performs their functions in complex environments. Similarly, in the health sector where the stakes for life and health are particularly high, increasingly sophisticated diagnostics systems and systems supporting human decisions should be reliable and accurate. The extent of the adverse impact caused by the AI system on the fundamental rights protected by the Charter is of particular relevance when classifying an AI system as high-risk. Those rights include the right to human dignity, respect for private and family life, protection of personal data, freedom of expression and information, freedom of assembly and of association, and non-discrimination, consumer protection, workers' rights, rights of persons with disabilities, right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, right of defence and the presumption of innocence, right to good administration. In addition to those rights, it is important to highlight that children have specific rights as enshrined in Article 24 of the EU Charter and in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (further elaborated in the UNCRC General Comment No. 25 as regards the digital environment), both of which require consideration of the children's vulnerabilities and provision of such protection and care as necessary for their well-being. The fundamental right to a high level of environmental protection enshrined in the Charter and implemented in Union policies should also be considered when assessing the severity of the harm that an AI system can cause, including in relation to the health and safety of persons.

manufacturing or personal assistance and care should be able to safely operate and performs their functions in complex environments. Similarly, in the health sector where the stakes for life and health are particularly high, increasingly sophisticated diagnostics systems and systems supporting human decisions should be reliable and accurate. The extent of the adverse impact caused by the AI system on the fundamental rights protected by the Charter is of particular relevance when classifying an AI system as high-risk. Those rights include the right to human dignity, respect for private and family life, protection of personal data, freedom of expression and information, freedom of assembly and of association, and non-discrimination, education, consumer protection, workers' rights, gender equality, rights of persons with disabilities, right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, right of defence and the presumption of innocence, right to good administration, right to protection of intellectual property, cultural diversity. In addition to those rights, it is important to highlight that children have specific rights as enshrined in Article 24 of the EU Charter and in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (further elaborated in the UNCRC General Comment No. 25 as regards the digital environment), both of which require consideration of the children's vulnerabilities and provision of such protection and care as necessary for their well-being. The fundamental right to a high level of environmental protection enshrined in the Charter and implemented in Union policies should also be considered when assessing the severity of the harm that an AI system can cause, including in relation to the health and safety of persons. (AM 365 S&D)

### Recital (57 b) (new)

Open Source software licences allow users to run, copy, distribute, study, change and improve software freely. By default the use of Open Source software in this manner attributes liability to the user. Whereas when provider provide Open Source software commercially under a Software as a Service (SaaS) or Professional Services model, then the

provider may retain the liability instead of the user. Research by the European Commission shows that Open Source software contributes between €65bn - €95bn to the European Union's GDP, and provides significant growth opportunities for the European economy. Open Source provider should be able to adopt the same economic model for AI systems. Hence, the provisions of this regulation should not apply to Open Source AI systems until those systems are put into service. To ensure AI systems cannot be put into service without complying with the regulation, when an Open Source AI System is put into service the obligations associated with providers should be transferred to the person putting the system into service.

### Article 1 Subject matter

This Regulation lays down:

- (a) harmonised rules for the placing on the market, the putting into service and the use of artificial intelligence systems ('Al systems') in the Union;
- b) prohibitions of certain artificial intelligence practices;
- (c) specific requirements for high-risk AI systems and obligations for operators of such systems;
- (d) harmonised transparency rules for Al systems intended to interact with natural persons, emotion recognition systems and biometric categorisation systems, and Al systems used to generate or manipulate image, audio or video content;
- (e) rules on market monitoring *and* surveillance.

### Article 1 Subject matter

This Regulation lays down:

- (a) harmonised rules for the *development* (AM433 Greens), placing on the market, the putting into service and the use of humancentric and trustworthy (AM18 EPP, 433 Greens) artificial intelligence systems ('Al systems') in the Union in compliance with democratic values;
- b) prohibitions of certain artificial intelligence practices;
- (c) specific requirements for high-risk Al systems and obligations for operators of such systems;
- (d) harmonised transparency rules for *certain* Al systems (*AM 436 S&D*);
- (e) rules on governance, market monitoring, market surveillance and enforcement (AM 19 EPP, 438 Greens, 439 S&D);

(ea) a high level protection of public interests, such as health, safety, fundamental rights and the environment, against potential harms caused by artificial intelligence;

(eb) measures in support of innovation with a particular focus on SMEs and start-ups, including but not limited to setting up regulatory sandboxes and targeted measures to reduce the compliance burden on SME's and start-ups (AM20 EPP);

(ec) the establishment of an independent 'European Artificial Intelligence Board' and its activities supporting the enforcement of this Regulation.

Article 2

Scope

### Article 2 Scope

### 1. This Regulation applies to:

- (a) providers placing on the market or putting into service AI systems in the Union, irrespective of whether those providers are established within the Union or in a third country;
- (b) users of AI systems located within the Union;
- (c) providers and users of AI systems that are located in a third country, where the output produced by the system is used in the Union;

### 30000

### 1. This Regulation applies to:

- (a) providers placing on the market or putting into service AI systems in the Union, irrespective of whether those are established within the Union or in a third country;
- (b) users of AI systems **who are** located **or established** within the Union; **(AM 21 EPP, 445 Greens)**
- (c) providers and users (AM 446 S&D) of AI systems that are located in a third country, where the output, meaning predictions, recommendations or decisions produced by the system and influencing the environment it interacts with, is used in the Union and puts at risk the environment or the health, safety or fundamental rights of natural persons physically present in the Union, insofar as the provider or user has permitted, is aware or can reasonably expect such use; (AM 22 EPP)

(ca) importers, distributors, and authorised representatives of providers of AI systems; (AM23 EPP, 447 Greens, 448 EPP)

2. For high-risk AI systems that are safety components of products or systems, or which

2. For high- risk AI systems that are safety components of products or systems, or which

are themselves products or systems, falling within the scope of the following acts, only Article 84 of this Regulation shall apply: (a) Regulation (EC) 300/2008; (b) Regulation (EU) No 167/2013; (c) Regulation (EU) No 168/2013; (d) Directive 2014/90/EU; (e) Directive (EU) 2016/797; (f) Regulation (EU) 2018/858; (g) Regulation (EU) 2018/1139; (h) Regulation (EU) 2019/2144.

are themselves products or systems and that fall within the scope of the listed acts in Annex II, section B, only Article 84 of this Regulation shall apply. (AM 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 29 30, 31, 32 EPP)

3a. This Regulation shall not affect research, testing and development activities regarding an AI system prior to this system being placed on the market or put into service, provided that these activities are conducted respecting fundamental rights and the applicable Union law. The Commission may adopt delegated acts that clarify this exemption. The Board shall provide guidance on the governance of research and development pursuant to Article 56 (2) (cc), also aiming at coordinating the way this exemption is put in place by the Commission and the national supervisory authorities. (AM 34 EPP, 449 RE, 458 ECR, 460 ECR).

3b. Title III of this Regulation shall not apply to AI systems that are used in a strictly business-to-business environment and provided that those systems do not pose a risk of harm to the environment, health or safety or a risk of adverse impact on fundamental rights. (AM36 EPP)

- 4. This Regulation shall not apply to public authorities in a third country nor to international organisations falling within the scope of this Regulation pursuant to paragraph 1, where those authorities or organisations use Al systems in the framework of international agreements for law enforcement and judicial cooperation with the Union or with one or more Member States.
- 4. This Regulation shall not apply to public authorities in a third country nor to international organisations falling within the scope of this Regulation pursuant to paragraph 1, where those authorities or organisations use Al systems in the framework of international agreements for law enforcement and judicial cooperation with the Union or with one or more Member States.
- 4a. This regulation shall not apply to Open Source AI systems until those systems are put into service or made available on the market

in return for payment, regardless of if that payment is for the AI system itself, the provision of the AI system as a service, or the provision of technical support for the AI system as a service.

5. This Regulation shall not affect the application of the provisions on the liability of intermediary service providers set out in Chapter II, Section IV of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 60 [as to be replaced by the corresponding provisions of the Digital Services Act].

5. This Regulation shall not affect the application of the provisions on the liability of intermediary service providers set out in Chapter II, Section IV of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 60 [as to be replaced by the corresponding provisions of the Digital Services Act].

CA 2 (supply chain)
Recital 57(a) (new)
Article 3 (1a) new, 3 (1b)new, 3 (2), 3 (4), 3(4a) new
Article 16
Article 23a (new)
Article 29

| Commission   | JURI COMPs   |
|--|--|
|  | Recital 57a (new) Al systems, which have been placed on the market but require further training or the use of a model not provided by the provider shall be considered as general purpose Al system. The training of these systems after they have been placed in the market shall be considered as adapting them to a specific purpose;   |
| Article 3<br>Definitions   | Article 3<br>Definitions   |
| For the purpose of this Regulation, the following definitions apply: | For the purpose of this Regulation, the following definitions apply:   |
|  | (1a) 'general purpose AI system' means an AI system that - irrespective of the modality in which it is placed on the market or put into service including as open source software - is intended by the provider to perform generally applicable functions such as image or speech recognition, audio or video generation, pattern detection, question answering, translation or others; a general purpose AI |

system may be used in a plurality of contexts and may be integrated in a plurality of other AI systems; (AM 38 EPP, AM 466 RE)

- (1b) 'open source AI systems' means AI systems, including test and training data, or trained models, distributed as open licenses.
- (2) 'provider' means a natural or legal person, public authority, agency or other body that develops an Al system or that has an Al system developed with a view to placing it on the market or putting it into service under its own name or trademark, whether for payment or free of charge or that adapts general purpose Al systems to a specific intended purpose; (AM41 EPP, 467 S&D)
- (4) 'user' means any natural or legal person, public authority, agency or other body using an AI system under its authority, except where the AI system is used in the course of a personal activity;
- (4a) 'affected person' means any natural person or a group of persons who are subject to or affected by an AI system; (AM 473 The Left, AM 475 Greens)

Article 16
Obligations of providers of high-risk AI systems

(2) 'provider' means a natural or legal person,

develops an AI system or that has an AI system

market or putting it into service under its own

name or trademark, whether for payment or

(4) 'user' means any natural or legal person,

Al system is used in the course of a personal

public authority, agency or other body using an

Al system under its authority, except where the

public authority, agency or other body that

developed with a view to placing it on the

free of charge;

non-professional activity;

Providers of high-risk AI systems shall:

(a) ensure that their high-risk AI systems are compliant with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title;

Article 16 Obligations of providers of high-risk AI systems

Providers of high-risk AI systems shall:

- (a) ensure that their high-risk AI systems are compliant with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title, before placing them on the market or putting them into service;
- (aa) indicate their name, registered trade name or registered trade mark, the address at which they can be contacted on the high-risk AI system or, where that is not possible, on its packaging or its accompanying documentation, as applicable; (AM147 EPP, AM 693 Greens)

(b) have a quality management system in place which complies with Article 17;

(b) have a quality management system in place which complies with Article 17;

- (c) draw up the technical documentation of the high-risk Al system;
- (d) when under their control, keep the logs automatically generated by their high-risk Al systems;
- (e) ensure that the high risk AI system undergoes the relevant conformity assessment procedure, prior to its placing on the market or putting into service;
- (f) comply with the registration obligations referred to in Article 51;
- (g) take the necessary corrective actions, if the high-risk AI system is not in conformity with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title;
- (h) inform the national competent authorities of the Member States in which they made the AI system available or put it into service and, where applicable, the notified body of the noncompliance and of any corrective actions taken;
- (i) to affix the CE marking to their high-risk Al systems to indicate the conformity with this Regulation in accordance with Article 49;
- (j) upon request of a national competent authority, demonstrate the conformity of the high-risk AI system with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title.

- (c) keep the documentation and, where not yet available, draw up the technical documentation referred to in Article 18; (AM149 EPP)
- d) when under their control, keep the logs automatically generated by their high-risk AI systems, *in accordance with Article 20;*
- (e) carry out the relevant conformity assessment procedure, as provided for in Article 19, prior to its placing on the market or putting into service; (AM 150 EPP)
- (f) comply with the registration obligations referred to in Article 51;
- (g) take the necessary corrective actions *as referred to in Art 21*, if the high-risk AI system is not in conformity with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title;
- (h) inform the national competent authorities of the Member States in which they made the AI system available or put it into service and, where applicable, the notified body of the noncompliance and of any corrective actions taken;
- (i) affix the CE marking to their high-risk AI systems to indicate the conformity with this Regulation in accordance with Article 49;
- (j) upon *reasoned* request of a national competent authority, *provide the relevant information and documentation to* demonstrate the conformity of the high-risk Al system with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title. *(AM 151 EPP)*

Article 23 a (new)
Conditions for other persons to be subject to
the obligations of a provider

1. Concerning high risk AI systems, any natural or legal person shall be considered a new provider for the purposes of this Regulation and shall be subject to the obligations of the provider under Article 16, in any of the following circumstances:

- (a) they put their name or trademark on a high-risk AI system already placed on the market or put into service, without prejudice to contractual arrangements stipulating that the obligations are allocated otherwise;
- (b) they make a substantial modification to or modify the intended purpose of a high-risk AI system already placed on the market or put into service;
- (c) they modify the intended purpose of a nonhigh-risk AI system already placed on the market or put it to service, in a way which makes the modified system a high-risk AI system;
- (d) they place on the market or make available on the market, with or without modification and in return for payment an Open Source AI system, an AI system derived from an Open Source AI system, or Technical Support Services for any such Open Source AI systems;
- (e) they adapt a general purpose Al system, already placed on the market or put into service, to a specific intended purpose.
- 2. Where the circumstances referred to in paragraph 1(a), (b), (c) or (d) occur, the former provider that initially placed the high-risk AI system on the market or put it into service shall no longer be considered a provider for the purposes of this Regulation. The former provider shall upon request and respecting its own intellectual property rights or trade secrets, provide the new provider with all essential, relevant and reasonably expected information that is necessary to comply with the obligations set out in this Regulation.
- 3. The original provider of a general purpose AI system as referred to in paragraph 1(e) shall, respecting its own intellectual property rights or trade secrets and taking into account the risks that are specifically linked to the adaption of the general purpose AI system to a specific intended purpose:
- (a) ensure that the general purpose AI system which may be used as high-risk AI system

complies with the requirements established in Articles 9, 10, 11, 13(2)/(3), 14(1) and 15 of this Regulation;

- (b) comply with the obligations set out in Art 16aa, 16e, 16f, 16g, 16i, 16j, 48 and 61 of this Regulation;
- (c) assess the reasonable foreseeable misuses of the general purpose AI system that may arise during the expected lifetime and install mitigation measures against those cases based on the generally acknowledged state of the art;
- (d) provide the new provider referred to in paragraph 1(d) with all essential, relevant and reasonably expected information that is necessary to comply with the obligations set out in this Regulation.
- 4. For high-risk AI systems that are safety components of products to which the legal acts listed in Annex II, section A apply, the manufacturer of those products shall be considered the provider of the high-risk AI system and shall be subject to the obligations referred to in Article 16 under either of the following scenarios:
- (i) the high-risk AI system is placed on the market together with the product under the name or trademark of the product manufacturer; or
- (ii) the high-risk AI system is put into service under the name or trademark of the product manufacturer after the product has been placed on the market.
- 5. Third parties involved in the sale and the supply of software including general purpose application programming interfaces (API), software tools and components, or providers of network services shall not be considered providers for the purposes of this Regulation. (AM 158 EPP, 498 RE, 760 S&D, 763 ECR)

# Article 29 Obligations of users of high-risk AI systems

1. Users of high-risk AI systems shall use such systems in accordance with the instructions of use accompanying the systems, *pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 5*.

- 2. The obligations in paragraph 1 are without prejudice to other **user** obligations under Union or national law and to the user's discretion in organising its own resources and activities for the purpose of implementing the human oversight measures indicated by the provider.
- 3. Without prejudice to paragraph 1, to the extent the user exercises control over the input data, that user shall ensure that input data is relevant in view of the intended purpose of the high-risk Al system.
- 4. Users shall monitor the operation of the high-risk AI system on the basis of the instructions of use. When they have reasons to consider that the use in accordance with the instructions of use may result in the AI system presenting a risk within the meaning of Article 65(1) they shall inform the provider or distributor and suspend the use of the system. They shall also inform the provider or distributor when they have identified any serious incident or any malfunctioning within the meaning of Article 62 and interrupt the use of the AI system. In case the user is not able to

# Article 29 Obligations of users of high-risk AI systems (AM 708 Greens)

- 1. Users of high-risk AI systems shall take appropriate organisational measures and ensure that the use of such systems takes place in accordance with the instructions of use accompanying the systems pursuant to paragraphs 1a 5 of this Article. (AM 179 EPP, 709 Greens) Users shall bear responsibility in case of any use of the AI system that is not in accordance with the instructions of use accompanying the systems. (AM 711 ECR)
- 1a. To the extent the user exercises control over the high-risk AI system, that user shall assign human oversight to natural persons who have the necessary AI literacy in accordance with Art 4b. (AM 180 EPP, 710 S&D, 713 Greens)
- 2. The obligations in paragraph 1 *and* 1*a* are without prejudice to other obligations *of the user* under Union or national law and to the user's discretion in organising its own resources and activities for the purpose of implementing the human oversight measures indicated by the provider. (AM 181 EPP)
- 3. Without prejudice to paragraph 1, to the extent the user exercises control over the input data, that user shall ensure that input data is relevant *and sufficiently representative* in view of the intended purpose of the high-risk Al system. (AM 182 EPP)
- 4. Users shall monitor the operation of the high-risk AI system on the basis of the instructions of use and, when relevant, inform the provider in accordance with Article 61. To the extent the user exercises control over the high-risk AI system, it shall also perform a risk assessment in line with Article 9 but limited to the potential adverse effects of using the high-risk AI system as well as the respective mitigation measures. When they have reasons to consider that the use in accordance with the instructions of use may result in the AI system presenting a risk within the meaning of Article 65(1) they shall inform the provider or

reach the provider, Article 62 shall apply mutatis mutandis.

distributor and suspend the use of the system. They shall also inform the provider or distributor and competent supervisory authority when they have identified any serious incident or malfunctioning and interrupt the use of the Al system. In case the user is not able to reach the provider, importer or distributer, Article 62 shall apply mutatis mutandis. (AM 183 EPP, AM 714 The left)

For users that are credit institutions regulated by Directive 2013/36/EU, the monitoring obligation set out in the first subparagraph shall be deemed to be fulfilled by complying with the rules on internal governance arrangements, processes and mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.

For users that are credit institutions regulated by Directive 2013/36/EU, the monitoring obligation set out in the first subparagraph shall be deemed to be fulfilled by complying with the rules on internal governance arrangements, processes and mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.

5. Users of high-risk AI systems shall keep the logs automatically generated by that high-risk AI system, to the extent such logs are under their control. *The logs* shall *be kept* for a period *that is appropriate in the light of the intended purpose of the high-risk AI system and* applicable *legal obligations under* Union or national law.

5. Users of high-risk AI systems shall keep the logs automatically generated by that high-risk AI system, to the extent such logs are under their control and is feasible from a technical point of view. They shall keep them for a period of at least six months, unless provided otherwise in applicable Union or national law. (AM 184 EPP)

Users that are credit institutions regulated by Directive 2013/36/EU shall maintain the logs as part of the documentation concerning internal governance arrangements, processes and mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.

Users that are credit institutions regulated by Directive 2013/36/EU shall maintain the logs as part of the documentation concerning internal governance arrangements, processes and mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.

6. Users of high-risk AI systems shall use the information provided under Article 13 to comply with their obligation to carry out a data protection impact assessment under Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or Article 27 of Directive (EU) 2016/680, where applicable.

6. Users of high-risk AI systems shall use the information provided under Article 13 to comply with their obligation to carry out a data protection impact assessment under Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 or Article 27 of Directive (EU) 2016/680 and may revert, where applicable, to those data protection impact assessments for fulfilling the obligations set out in this Article. (AM 185 EPP)

6a. The provider shall be obliged to cooperate closely with the user and in particular provide the user with the necessary and appropriate information to allow the fulfilment of the obligations set out in this Article. (AM 187

6b. Users shall cooperate with national competent authorities on any action those authorities take in relation to an AI system. (AM 188 EPP)

CA 3 (Trustworthy AI)
Recital 3
Recital 6a new
Recital 15
Article 4a (new)
Article 40
Article 69

#### Commission

#### JURI COMPs

Recital (3) Artificial intelligence is a fast evolving family of technologies that can contribute to a wide array of economic and societal benefits across the entire spectrum of industries and social activities. By improving prediction, optimising operations and resource allocation, and personalising digital solutions available for individuals and organisations, the use of artificial intelligence can provide key competitive advantages to companies and support socially and environmentally beneficial outcomes, for example in healthcare, farming, education and training, infrastructure management, energy, transport and logistics, public services, security, justice, resource and energy efficiency, and climate change mitigation and adaptation.

Recital (3) Artificial intelligence is a fast evolving family of technologies that can contribute to a wide array of economic and societal benefits across the entire spectrum of industries and social activities if developed in accordance with relevant general principles in line with the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the values on which the Union is founded. By improving prediction, optimising operations and resource allocation, and personalising digital solutions available for individuals and organisations, the use of artificial intelligence can provide key competitive advantages to companies and support socially and environmentally beneficial outcomes, for example in healthcare, farming, education and training, infrastructure management, energy, transport and logistics, public services, security, justice, resource and energy efficiency, and climate change mitigation and adaptation.

(AM 320 S&D)

### Recital (6a) new

Building on the seven key requirements set out by the High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence, it is important to note that AI systems should respect general principles establishing a high-level framework that promotes a coherent human-centric approach to ethical and trustworthy AI in line with the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the values on which the Union is founded, including the-protection of fundamental rights, human agency and oversight, technical robustness and safety, privacy and data governance, transparency, non-discrimination and fairness and societal and environmental wellbeing.

(AM 329 Left)

Recital (15) Aside from the many beneficial uses of artificial intelligence, that technology can also be misused and provide novel and powerful tools for manipulative, exploitative and social control practices. Such practices are particularly harmful and should be prohibited because they contradict Union values of respect for human dignity, freedom, equality, democracy and the rule of law and Union fundamental rights, including the right to non-discrimination, data protection and privacy and the rights of the child.

Recital (15) Aside from the many beneficial uses of artificial intelligence, that technology can also be misused and provide novel and powerful tools for manipulative, exploitative and social control practices. Such practices are particularly harmful and should be prohibited because they contradict Union values of respect for human dignity, freedom, equality, democracy and the rule of law and Union fundamental rights, including the right to non-discrimination, data protection and privacy, gender equality and the rights of the child. (AM 343 S&D)

### Article 4 a General principles applicable to all AI systems

- 1. All Al operators shall respect the following general principles that establish a high-level framework that promotes a coherent humancentric European approach to ethical and trustworthy Artificial Intelligence, which is fully in line with the EU Charta of Fundamental Rights as well as the values on which the Union is founded:
- 'human agency and oversight' means that AI systems shall be developed and used as a tool that serves people, respects human dignity and personal autonomy, and that is functioning in a way that can be appropriately controlled and overseen by humans.
- 'technical robustness and safety' means that Al systems shall be developed and used in a way to minimize unintended and unexpected harm as well as being robust in case of unintended problems and being resilient against attempts to alter the use or

performance of the AI system so as to allow unlawful use by malicious third parties.

- 'privacy and data governance' means that Al systems shall be developed and used in compliance with existing privacy and data protection rules, while processing data that meets high standards in terms of quality and integrity.
- 'transparency' means that AI systems shall be developed and used in a way that allows appropriate traceability and explainability, while making humans aware that they communicate or interact with an AI system as well as duly informing users of the capabilities and limitations of that AI system and affected persons about their rights.
- 'diversity, non-discrimination and fairness' means that AI systems shall be developed and used in a way that includes diverse actors and promotes equal access, gender equality and cultural diversity, while avoiding discriminatory impacts and unfair biases that are prohibited by Union or Member States law.
- 'social and environmental well-being' means that AI systems shall be developed and used in a sustainable and environmentally friendly manner as well as in a way to benefit all human beings, while monitoring and assessing the long-term impacts on the individual, society and democracy.
- 2. Paragraph 1 is without prejudice to obligations set up by existing Union and Member States legislation.

For high-risk AI systems, the general principles are translated into and complied with by providers or users by means of the requirements set out in Article 8 - 15 of this Regulation. For all other AI systems, the voluntary application on the basis of harmonised standards, technical specifications and codes of conducts as referred to in Article 69 is strongly encouraged with a view to fulfilling the principles listed in paragraph 1.

3. The Commission and the Board shall issue recommendations that help guiding providers and users on how to develop and use Al systems in accordance with the general principles. European Standardisation Organisations shall take the general principles referred to in paragraph 1 into account as outcome-based objectives when developing the appropriate harmonised standards for high risk Al systems as referred to in Article 40(2b).

(AM 61 EPP, AM 502 S&D, AM 503 Greens)

### Article 40 Harmonised standards

High-risk AI systems which are in conformity with harmonised standards or parts thereof the references of which have been published in the Official Journal of the European Union shall be presumed to be in conformity with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title, to the extent those standards cover those requirements.

### Article 40 Harmonised standards

- 1. High-risk AI systems which are in conformity with harmonised standards or parts thereof the references of which have been published in the Official Journal of the European Union shall be presumed to be in conformity with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title, to the extent those standards cover those requirements.
- 1 (a). When issuing a standardisation request to European standardisation organisations in accordance with Article 10 of Regulation (EU) 1025/2012, the Commission shall specify that standards are coherent, easy to implement and drafted in such a way that they aim to fulfil in particular the following objectives:
- a) ensure that AI systems placed on the market or put into service in the Union are safe, trustworthy and respect Union values and strengthen the Union's digital sovereignty;
- b) take into account the general principles for trustworthy AI set out in Article 4(a);
- c) promote investment and innovation in AI, as well as competitiveness and growth of the Union market;
- d) enhance multistakeholder governance, representative of all relevant European stakeholders (e.g. industry, SMEs, civil society, social partners, researchers);

e) contribute to strengthening global cooperation on standardisation in the field of AI that is consistent with Union values, fundamental rights and interests.

The Commission shall request the European standardisation organisations to provide evidence of their best efforts to fulfil the above objectives.

1 (b). The Commission shall issue standardisation requests covering all requirements of this Regulation in accordance with Article 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 before the date of entry into force of this Regulation. (AM 190 EPP)

### Article 69 Codes of conduct

- 1. The Commission and the Member States shall encourage and facilitate the drawing up of codes of conduct intended to foster the voluntary application to AI systems other than high-risk AI systems of the requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2 on the basis of technical specifications and solutions that are appropriate means of ensuring compliance with such requirements in light of the intended purpose of the systems.
- 2. The Commission and the Board shall encourage and facilitate the drawing up of codes of conduct intended to foster the voluntary-application to Al systems of requirements related for example to environmental sustainability, accessibility for persons with a disability, stakeholders participation in the design and development of the Al systems and diversity of development teams on the basis of clear objectives and key performance indicators to measure the achievement of those objectives.

### Article 69 Codes of conduct

- 1. The Commission, the Board and the Member States shall encourage and facilitate the drawing up of codes of conduct intended, including where they are drawn up in order to demonstrate how Al systems respect the principles set out in Article 4a and can thereby be considered trustworthy, to foster the voluntary application to Al systems other than high-risk Al systems of the requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2 on the basis of technical specifications and solutions that are appropriate means of ensuring compliance with such requirements in light of the intended purpose of the systems. (AM 261 EPP, AM 864 S&D)
- 2. Codes of conducts intended to foster the voluntary compliance with the principles underpinning trustworthy AI systems shall, in particular:
- (a) aim for a sufficient level of Al literacy among their staff and other persons dealing with the operation and use of Al systems in order to observe such principles;
- (b) assess to what extent their AI systems may affect vulnerable persons or groups of persons, including children, the elderly, migrants and persons with disabilities or whether measures

could be put in place in order to increase accessibility, or otherwise support such persons or groups of persons;

- (c) consider the way in which the use of their Al systems may have an impact or can increase diversity, gender balance and equality;
- (d) have regard to whether their AI systems can be used in a way that, directly or indirectly, may residually or significantly reinforce existing biases or inequalities;
- (e) reflect on the need and relevance of having in place diverse development teams in view of securing an inclusive design of their systems;
- (f) give careful consideration to whether their systems can have a negative societal impact, notably concerning political institutions and democratic processes;
- (g) evaluate how AI systems can contribute to environmental sustainability and in particular to the Union's commitments under the European Green Deal and the European Declaration on Digital Rights and Principles. (AM 866 S&D)
- 3. Codes of conduct may be drawn up by individual providers of AI systems or by organisations representing them or by both, including with the involvement of users and any interested stakeholders and their representative organisations. Codes of conduct may cover one or more AI systems taking into account the similarity of the intended purpose of the relevant systems.
- 3. Codes of conduct may be drawn up by individual providers of AI systems or by organisations representing them or by both, including with the involvement of users and any interested stakeholders, including scientific researchers, and their representative organisations, in particular trade unions, and consumer organisations. Codes of conduct may cover one or more AI systems taking into account the similarity of the intended purpose of the relevant systems. (AM 868 S&D, 869 Greens). Providers adopting codes of conduct will designate at least one natural person responsible for internal monitoring.
- 4. The Commission and the Board shall take into account the specific interests and needs of *the small-scale providers* and start-ups when encouraging and facilitating the drawing up of codes of conduct.
- 4. The Commission and the Board shall take into account the specific interests and needs of *SMEs* and start-ups when encouraging and facilitating the drawing up of codes of conduct. *(AM 262 EPP, 874 Greens, 875 RE)*

CA 4 (Al literacy) Recital 14a new Recital 14b new Recital 73 Article 3 (44a) new

| Recital 73  |  |
|---|--|
| Article 3 (44a) new   |  |
| Article 4b new  |  |
| Commission  | JURI COMPs   |
|   | Recital (14a) new  For this Regulation to be effective, it is essential to address the issue of the digital divide and, therefore, it should be accompanied by a policy of education, training and awareness as regards these technologies that ensures a sufficient level of Al literacy. (AM 330 Left)  Recital (14b) new  Al literacy' refers to skills, knowledge and understanding that allows providers, users and affected persons, taking into account their respective rights and obligations in the context  |
|   | of this Regulation, to make an informed deployment of AI systems, as well as to gain awareness about the opportunities and risks of AI and possible harm it can cause and thereby promote its democratic control. AI literacy should not be limited to learning about tools and technologies, but should also aim to equip providers and users with the notions and skills required to ensure compliance with and enforcement of this Regulation. It is therefore necessary that the Commission, the Member States as well as providers and users of AI systems, in cooperation with all relevant stakeholders, promote the development of a sufficient level of AI literacy, in all sectors of society, for citizens of all ages, including women and girls, and that progress in that regard is closely followed. (AM 341 S&D) |
| Recital (73) In order to promote and protect innovation, it is important that the interests of small-scale providers and users of AI systems are taken into particular account. To this objective, Member States should develop | Recital (73) In order to promote and protect innovation, it is important that the interests of small-scale providers and users of AI systems are taken into particular account. To this objective, Member States should develop  |

initiatives, which are targeted at those operators, including on awareness raising and information communication. Moreover, the specific interests and needs of small-scale providers shall be taken into account when Notified Bodies set conformity assessment fees. Translation costs related to mandatory documentation and communication with authorities may constitute a significant cost for providers and other operators, notably those of a smaller scale. Member States should possibly ensure that one of the languages determined and accepted by them for relevant providers' documentation and for communication with operators is one which is broadly understood by the largest possible number of cross-border users.

initiatives, which are targeted at those operators, including on Al literacy, awareness raising and information communication. Moreover, the specific interests and needs of small-scale providers shall be taken into account when Notified Bodies set conformity assessment fees. Translation costs related to mandatory documentation and communication with authorities may constitute a significant cost for providers and other operators, notably those of a smaller scale. Member States should possibly ensure that one of the languages determined and accepted by them for relevant providers' documentation and for communication with operators is one which is broadly understood by the largest possible number of cross-border users. (AM 419 S&D)

# Article 3

(44a) 'AI literacy' means the skills, knowledge and understanding regarding AI systems that are necessary for the compliance with and enforcement of this Regulation (AM 497 S&D)

**Definitions** 

### Article 4 b Al literacy

- 1. When implementing this Regulation, the Union and the Member States shall promote measures and tools for the development of a sufficient level of AI literacy, across sectors and taking into account the different needs of groups of providers, users and affected persons concerned, including through education and training, skilling and reskilling programmes and while ensuring proper gender and age balance, in view of allowing a democratic control of AI systems.
- 2. Providers and users of AI systems shall promote tools and take measures to ensure a sufficient level of AI literacy of their staff and other persons dealing with the operation and use of AI systems on their behalf, taking into account their technical knowledge, experience, education and training and the environment

the AI systems are to be used in, and considering the persons or groups of persons on which the AI systems are to be used.

- 3. Such literacy tools and measures shall consist, in particular, of the teaching and learning of basic notions and skills about AI systems and their functioning, including the different types of products and uses, their risks and benefits and the severity of the possible harm they can cause and its probability of occurrence.
- 4. A sufficient level of AI literacy is one that contributes, as necessary, to the ability of providers and users to ensure compliance and enforcement of this Regulation. (AM 505 S&D)

CA 5 (better regulation)
Article 9 (4) subparagraph 1 point (c)
Article 9 (9)

| Article 9 (9)   |  |
|---|--|
| Article 62 (1)  |  |
| Commission  | JURI COMPs   |
| Article 9   | Article 9  |
| Risk management system  | Risk management system   |
| Nisk munugement system  | Nisk munugement system   |
| 4. (c) provision of adequate information  | 4. (c) provision of adequate information   |
| pursuant to Article 13, in particular as regards  | pursuant to Article 13, in particular as regards   |
| the risks referred to in paragraph 2, point (b) of<br>this Article, and, where appropriate, training to | the risks referred to in paragraph 2, point (b) of this Article, and where appropriate training to |
| users.  | users, as appropriate to ensure a sufficient   |
| users.  | level of AI literacy in line with Article 4b (AM   |
|   | 609 S&D)   |
|   | ,  |
|   |  |
| 9. For credit institutions regulated by Directive   | 9. For <b>providers of AI systems already covered</b>  |
| 2013/36/EU, the aspects described in  | by other acts of Union law that require them   |
| paragraphs 1 to 8 shall be part of the risk   | to put in place specific risk management   |
| management procedures established by those  | systems, including credit institutions regulated   |
| institutions pursuant to Article 74 of that   | by Directive 2013/36/EU, the aspects described   |
| <del>Directive</del> .  | in paragraphs 1 to 8 shall be part of the risk   |
|   | management procedures established by those acts of Union law. (AM 100 EPP)                         |
|   | acts of Official law. (AIVI 100 EFF)   |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

### Article 62 Reporting of serious incidents and of malfunctioning

1. Providers of high-risk AI systems placed on the Union market shall report any serious incident or any malfunctioning of those systems which constitutes a breach of obligations under Union law intended to protect fundamental rights to the market surveillance authorities of the Member States where that incident or breach occurred.

Such notification shall be made *immediately* after the provider has established a causal link between the AI system and the incident or malfunctioning or the reasonable likelihood of such a link, and, in any event, not later than *15 days* after the providers becomes aware of the serious incident or of the malfunctioning.

### Article 62 Reporting of serious incidents and of malfunctioning

1. Providers of high-risk AI systems placed on the Union market shall report any serious incident or any malfunctioning of those systems which constitutes a breach of obligations under Union law intended to protect fundamental rights to the market surveillance authorities of the Member States where that incident or breach occurred. (AM 252 EPP)

Such notification shall be made without undue delay after the provider has established a causal link between the AI system and the serious incident or malfunctioning or the reasonable likelihood of such a link, and, in any event, not later than 72 hours after the providers becomes aware of the serious incident or of the malfunctioning (AM 843 The Left).

No report under this Article is required if the serious incident or malfunctioning is also to be reported by providers to comply with obligations established by other acts of Union laws. In that case, the authorities competent under those acts of Union laws shall forward the received report to the national supervisory authority designated under this Regulation. (AM 253 EPP)

CA 6 (transparency) Recital 47a new Article 13 Article 52

| Commission | JURI COMPs  |
|------------|---|
|            | Recital 47a new   |
|            | Such requirements on transparency and on the explicability of AI decision-making should also help to counter the deterrent effects of digital asymmetry and so-called 'dark patterns' targeting individuals and their informed consent. (AM 383 Greens) |

# Article 13 Transparency and provision of information to

1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and developed in such a way to ensure that their operation is sufficiently transparent to enable users-to interpret the system's output and use it appropriately. An appropriate type and degree of transparency shall be ensured, with a view to achieving compliance with the relevant obligations of the user and of the provider set out in Chapter 3 of this Title.

- 2. High-risk AI systems shall be accompanied by instructions for use in an appropriate digital format or otherwise that include concise, *complete*, correct *and*-clear information that is relevant, accessible and comprehensible to users.
- 3. *The* information referred to in paragraph 2 shall specify:
- (a) the identity and the contact details of the provider and, where applicable, of its authorised representative;

# Article 13 Transparency and provision of information

1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and developed in such a way to ensure that their operation is sufficiently transparent to enable *providers and* users *to reasonably understand the system's functioning.* 

Appropriate transparency shall be ensured in accordance with the intended purpose of the AI system, with a view to achieving compliance with the relevant obligations of the *provider* and user set out in Chapter 3 of this Title.

Transparency shall thereby mean that, at the time the high risk AI system is placed on the market, all technical means available in accordance with the generally acknowledged state of art are used to ensure that the AI system's output is interpretable by the provider and the user. The user shall be enabled to understand and use the AI system appropriately by generally knowing how the AI system works and what data it processes, allowing the user to explain the decisions taken by the AI system to the affected person pursuant to Article 68(c). (AM 121 EPP, 643 S&D)

- 2. High-risk AI systems shall be accompanied by *intelligible* instructions for use in an appropriate digital format or *made* otherwise *available in a durable medium* that include concise, correct, clear *and to the extent possible complete* information that *helps operating and maintaining the AI system as well as supporting informed decision-making by users and* is *reasonably* relevant, accessible and comprehensible to users. *(AM 122 EPP, 648 ECR, 650 Greens, 651 S&D)*
- 3. To achieve the outcomes referred to in paragraph 1, information referred to in paragraph 2 shall specify: (AM 123 EPP)
- (a) the identity and the contact details of the provider and, where applicable, of its authorised *representatives*; (AM 124 EPP)

- (b) the characteristics, capabilities and limitations of performance of the high-risk Al system, including:
- (i) its intended purpose;
- (ii) the level of accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity referred to in Article 15 against which the high-risk AI system has been tested and validated and which can be expected, and any known and foreseeable circumstances that may have an impact on that expected level of accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity;
- (iii) any known or foreseeable circumstance, related to the use of the high-risk AI system in accordance with its intended purpose or under conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse, which may lead to risks to the health and safety or fundamental rights;
- (iv) its performance as regards the persons or groups of persons on which the system is intended to be used;
- (v) when appropriate, specifications for the input data, or any other relevant information in terms of the training, validation and testing data sets used, taking into account the intended purpose of the AI system.
- (c) the changes to the high-risk AI system and its performance which have been pre-

- (aa) where it is not the same as the provider, the identity and the contact details of the entity that carried out the conformity assessment and, where applicable, of its authorised representative; (AM 653 S&D)
- (b) the characteristics, capabilities and limitations of performance of the high-risk Al system, *including, where appropriate: (AM 654 ECR)*
- (i) its intended purpose;
- (ii) the level of accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity referred to in Article 15 against which the high-risk Al system has been tested and validated and which can be expected, and any clearly known and foreseeable circumstances that may have an impact on that expected level of accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity;
- (iii) any clearly known or foreseeable circumstance, related to the use of the high-risk Al system in accordance with its intended purpose or under conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse, which may lead to risks to the health and safety, fundamental rights or the environment, including, where appropriate, illustrative examples of such limitations and of scenarios for which the system should not be used;
- (iiia) the degree to which the AI system can provide an explanation for decisions it takes;
- (iv) its performance as regards the persons or groups of persons on which the system is intended to be used;
- (v) relevant information about user actions that may influence system performance, including type or quality of input data, or any other relevant information in terms of the training, validation and testing data sets used, taking into account the intended purpose of the Al system.
- (c) the changes to the high-risk AI system and its performance which have been pre-

determined by the provider at the moment of the initial conformity assessment, if any;

- (d) the human oversight measures referred to in Article 14, including the technical measures put in place to facilitate the interpretation of the outputs of AI systems by the users;
- (e) the expected lifetime of the high-risk AI system and any necessary maintenance and care measures to ensure the proper functioning of that AI system, including as regards software updates.

determined by the provider at the moment of the initial conformity assessment, if any;

- (d) the human oversight measures referred to in Article 14, including the technical measures put in place to facilitate the interpretation of the outputs of AI systems by the users;
- (e) any necessary maintenance and care measures to ensure the proper functioning of that AI system, including as regards software updates, through its expected lifetime. (AM 128 EPP)
- (ea) a description of the mechanisms included within the AI system that allows users to properly collect, store and interpret the logs in accordance with Article 12(1). (AM 129 EPP)
- (eb) The information shall be provided at least in the language of the country where the AI system is used.
- 3a. In order to comply with the obligations established in this Article, providers and users shall ensure a sufficient level of AI literacy in line with Article 4b. (AM 670 S&D)

# Article 52 Transparency obligations for certain AI systems

1. Providers shall ensure that AI systems intended to interact with natural persons are designed and developed in such a way that natural *persons are informed* that they are interacting with an AI system, unless this is obvious from the circumstances and the context of use.

# Article 52 Transparency obligations (AM 742 Greens, 743 The Left, 744 S&D)

1. Providers shall ensure that AI systems intended to interact with natural persons are designed and developed in such a way that the AI system, the provider itself or the user informs the natural person exposed to an AI system that they are interacting with an AI system in a timely, clear and intelligible manner, unless this is obvious from the circumstances and the context of use.

Where appropriate and relevant, this information shall also include which functions are AI enabled, if there is human oversight, and who is responsible for the decision-making process, as well as the existing rights and processes that, according to national and EU law, allow natural persons or their representatives to object against the

This obligation shall not apply to AI systems authorised by law to detect, prevent, investigate and prosecute criminal offences, unless those systems are available for the public to report a criminal offence.

2. Users of an emotion recognition system or a biometric categorisation system shall inform of the operation of the system the natural persons exposed thereto.

This obligation shall not apply to AI systems used for biometric categorisation, which are permitted by law to detect, prevent and investigate criminal offences.

3. Users of an AI system that generates or manipulates *image*, audio or *video* content that *appreciably resembles existing persons*, *objects, places or other entities or events and* would falsely appear *to a person* to be authentic or truthful ('deep fake'), shall disclose that the content has been artificially generated or manipulated.

However, the first subparagraph shall not apply where the use is authorised by law to detect, prevent, investigate and prosecute criminal offences or it is necessary for the exercise of the right to freedom of expression and the right to freedom of the arts and

application of such systems to them and to seek judicial redress against decisions taken by or harm caused by AI systems, including their right to seek an explanation. (AM 205 EPP, 746 S&D, 748 S&D) This obligation shall not apply to AI systems authorised by law to detect, prevent, investigate and prosecute criminal offences, unless those systems are available for the public to report a criminal offence.

2. Users of an emotion recognition system or a biometric categorisation system which is not prohibited pursuant to Article 5 shall inform in a timely, clear and intelligible manner of the operation of the system the natural persons exposed thereto and obtain their consent prior to the processing of their biometric and other personal data in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679, Regulation (EU) 2016/1725 and Directive (EU) 2016/280, as applicable. (AM 751 S&D) This obligation shall not apply to Al systems used for biometric categorisation, which are permitted by law to detect, prevent and investigate criminal offences.

3. Users of an AI system that generates or manipulates text, audio or visual content that would falsely appear to be authentic or truthful and which features depictions of people appearing to say or do things they did not say or do, without their consent ('deep fake'), shall disclose in an appropriate, timely, clear and visible manner that the content has been artificially generated or manipulated, as well as, whenever possible, the name of the natural or legal person that generated or manipulated it. Disclosure shall mean labelling the content in a way that informs that the content is inauthentic and that is clearly visible for the recipient of that content. To label the content, users shall take into account the generally acknowledged state of the art and relevant harmonised standards and specifications (AM 206 EPP, 752 S&D, 753 S&D, 754 ECR)

3a. However, the first subparagraph
Paragraph 3 shall not apply where the use of
an AI system that generates or manipulates
text, audio or visual content is authorized by
law or if it is necessary for the exercise of the
right to freedom of expression and the right to

Commented [AA1]: Separate vot

Commented [AA2]: Separate

sciences guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU, and subject to appropriate safeguards for the rights and freedoms of third parties.

freedom of the arts and sciences guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU, and subject to appropriate safeguards for the rights and freedoms of third parties. Where the content forms part of an evidently creative, satirical, artistic or fictional cinematographic, video games visuals and analogous work or programme, transparency obligations set out in paragraph 3 are limited to disclosing of the existence of such generated or manipulated content in an appropriate clear and visible manner that does not hamper the display of the work and disclosing the applicable copyrights, where relevant. (AM 207 EPP, 758 S&D). It shall also not prevent law enforcement authorities from using AI systems intended to detect deep fakes and prevent, investigate and prosecute criminal offences linked with their use.

3b. The information referred to in paragraph 1 to 3 shall be provided to the natural persons at the latest at the time of the first interaction or exposure. It shall be accessible to vulnerable persons, such as persons with disabilities or children, complete, where relevant and appropriate, with intervention or flagging procedures for the exposed natural person taking into account the generally acknowledged state of the art and relevant harmonised standards and common specifications. (AM 208 EPP, 759 S&D, 762 EPP)

4. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not affect the requirements and obligations set out in Title III of this Regulation.

4. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not affect the requirements and obligations set out in Title III of this Regulation.

### CA 7 Recital 48a new Article 14 (human oversight)

| Commission | JURI COMPs  |
|------------|---|
|            | Recital 48a new   |
|            | Human oversight aims at serving human-<br>centric objectives. The individuals to whom |

human oversight is assigned shall be provided with adequate education and training on the functioning of the AI system, its capabilities to influence or make decisions, the possible harmful effects it can cause, notably on fundamental rights, and its probability of occurrence. The persons in charge of the assignment of these individuals shall provide them with the necessary staff and psychological support and authority to exercise their function. (AM 373 Greens)

### Article 14 Human oversight

# 1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and developed in such a way, including with appropriate human-machine interface tools, that they *can* be effectively overseen by natural persons during the period in which the AI system is in use.

- 2. Human oversight shall aim at preventing or minimising the risks to health, safety or fundamental rights that may emerge when a high-risk AI system is used in accordance with its intended purpose or under conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse, in particular when such risks persist notwithstanding the application of other requirements set out in this Chapter.
- 3. Human oversight shall be ensured through either one or all of the following measures:

### Article 14 Human oversight

- 1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and developed in such a way, including with appropriate human-machine interface tools, that they be effectively overseen by natural persons as proportionate to the risks associated with those systems. Natural persons in charge of ensuring human oversight shall have sufficient level of AI literacy in line with Article 4b and the necessary support and authority to exercise that function, during the period in which the AI system is in use and to allow for thorough investigation after an incident. (AM 130 EPP, 673 S&D, 675 Greens, 684 Greens, 688 S&D)
- 2. Human oversight shall aim at preventing or minimising the risks to health, safety, fundamental rights or environment that may emerge when a high-risk AI system is used in accordance with its intended purpose or under conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse, in particular when such risks persist notwithstanding the application of other requirements set out in this Chapter and where decisions based solely on automated processing by AI systems produce legal or otherwise significant effects on the persons or groups of persons on which the system is to be used. (AM 131 EPP, 676 S&D)
- 3. Human oversight shall take into account the specific risks, the level of automation, and context of the AI system and shall be ensured

(a)identified and built, when technically feasible, into the high-risk AI system by the provider before it is placed on the market or put into service;

(b)identified by the provider before placing the high-risk AI system on the market or putting it into service and that are appropriate to be implemented by the user.

- 4. The measures referred to in paragraph 3 shall enable the individuals to whom human oversight is assigned to do the following, as appropriate to the circumstances:
- (a) *fully* understand the capacities and limitations of the high-risk AI system and be able to duly monitor its operation, so that signs of anomalies, dysfunctions and unexpected performance can be detected and addressed as soon as possible;
- (b) remain aware of the possible tendency of automatically relying or over-relying on the output produced by a high-risk AI system ('automation bias'), in particular for high-risk AI systems used to provide information or recommendations for decisions to be taken by natural persons;
- (c) be able to correctly interpret the high-risk Al system's output, taking into account in particular the characteristics of the system and the interpretation tools and methods available;
- (d) be able to decide, in any particular situation, not to use the high-risk AI system or otherwise disregard, override or reverse the output of the high-risk AI system;
- (e) be able to intervene on the operation of the high-risk AI system or interrupt the system through a "stop" button or a similar procedure.

through either one or all of the following *types* of measures: (AM 132 EPP)

(a)identified and built, when technically feasible, into the high-risk AI system by the provider before it is placed on the market or put into service;

(b)identified by the provider before placing the high-risk AI system on the market or putting it into service and that are appropriate to be implemented by the user.

- 4. For the purpose of implementing paragraphs 1 to 3, the high-risk AI system shall be provided to the user in such a way that natural persons to whom human oversight is assigned are enabled, as appropriate and proportionate to the circumstances: (AM 133 EPP, 681 ECR)
- (a) to be aware of and sufficiently understand the relevant capacities and limitations of the high-risk AI system and be able to duly monitor its operation, so that signs of anomalies, dysfunctions and unexpected performance can be detected and addressed as soon as possible; (AM 134 EPP, 682 ECR)
- (b) remain aware of the possible tendency of automatically relying or over-relying on the output produced by a high-risk Al system ('automation bias'), in particular for high-risk Al systems used to provide information or recommendations for decisions to be taken by natural persons;
- (c) be able to correctly interpret the high-risk Al system's output, taking into account in particular the characteristics of the system and the interpretation tools and methods available:
- (d) be able to decide, in any particular situation, not to use the high-risk AI system or otherwise disregard, override or reverse the output of the high-risk AI system;
- (e) be able to intervene on the operation of the high-risk AI system or interrupt, the system through a "stop" button or a similar procedure

that allows the system to come to a halt in a safe state, except if the human interference increases the risks or would negatively impact the performance in consideration of generally acknowledged state-of-the-art. (AM 137 EPP, 683 Greens)

5. For high-risk AI systems referred to in point 1(a) of Annex III, the measures referred to in paragraph 3 shall be such as to ensure that, in addition, no action or decision is taken by the user on the basis of the identification resulting from the system unless this has been verified and confirmed by at least two natural persons.

5. For high-risk AI systems referred to in point 1(a) of Annex III, the measures referred to in paragraph 3 shall be such as to ensure that, in addition, no action or decision is taken by the user on the basis of the identification resulting from the system unless this has been verified and confirmed by at least two natural persons with the necessary competence, training and authority. (AM 685 S&D)

### CA 8 (enforcement)

Recital 76
Recital 76a new
Recital 77
Article 3(43)
Article 56
Article 57
Article 58
Article 59
Article 59

Article 84 (4a) new

### Commission

Recital (76) In order to *facilitate a smooth*, effective and harmonised implementation of this Regulation a European Artificial Intelligence Board *should be* established. *The Board should be responsible for* a number of advisory tasks, including issuing opinions, recommendations, advice or guidance on matters related to the implementation of this Regulation, including on technical specifications or existing standards regarding the requirements established in this Regulation and providing advice to and assisting the Commission on specific questions related to artificial intelligence.

### **JURI COMPs**

Recital (76) In order to *avoid fragmentation* and ensure the optimal functioning of the Single Market, it is essential to guarantee an effective and harmonised implementation of this Regulation. To this end, a European Artificial Intelligence Board is now established and entrusted with a number of advisory tasks, including issuing opinions, recommendations, advice or guidance on matters related to the implementation of this Regulation, including on technical specifications or existing standards regarding the requirements established in this Regulation and providing advice to and assisting the Commission on specific questions related to artificial intelligence. However, such a solution might prove not be sufficient to ensure a fully coherent cross-border action

and, therefore, [within three years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission should be required to consider whether the creation of an EU Agency is necessary to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation at EU level.

Recital (76a) (new) The Commission should reestablish the High Level Expert Group or a similar body with a new and balanced membership comprising an equal number of experts from SMEs and start-ups, large enterprises, academia and Research, social partners and civil society. This new High Level Expert Group on Trustworthy AI should not only act as advisory body to the Commission but also to the Board. At least every quarter, the new High Level Expert Group on Trustworthy AI must have the chance to share its practical and technical expertise in a special meeting with the Board. (AM 227 EPP)

Recital (77) Member States hold a key role in the application and enforcement of this Regulation. In this respect, each Member State should designate one or more national competent authorities for the purpose of supervising the application and implementation of this Regulation. In order to increase organisation efficiency on the side of Member States and to set an official point of contact visà-vis the public and other counterparts at Member State and Union levels, in each Member State one national authority should be designated as national supervisory authority.

Recital (77) Member States hold a key role in the application and enforcement of this Regulation. In this respect, each Member State should designate one or more national competent authorities for the purpose of supervising the application and implementation of this Regulation. In order to increase organisation efficiency on the side of Member States and to set an official point of contact visà-vis the public and other counterparts at Member State and Union levels, in each Member State one national authority should be designated as national supervisory authority. In order to facilitate a consistent and coherent implementation of this Regulation, national supervisory authorities should engage in substantial and regular cooperation not only with the Board, but also among themselves to promote the exchange of relevant information and best practices. In this regard and also taking into account that, given the current lack of AI experts, it might be difficult to ensure at national level that the supervisory authorities are provided with adequate human resources to perform their tasks, Member States are also strongly encouraged to consider the possibility of creating transnational entities for the purpose of ensuring joint supervision of the implementation of this Regulation.

### Article 3 Definitions

(43) 'national competent authority' means the national supervisory authority, the notifying authority and the market surveillance authority;

Article 56 Establishment of the European Artificial Intelligence Board

1. A 'European Artificial Intelligence Board' (the 'Board') is established.

- 2. The Board shall provide advice and assistance to the Commission in order to:
- (a) contribute to the effective cooperation of the national supervisory authorities and the Commission with regard to matters covered by this Regulation;
- (b) coordinate and contribute to guidance and analysis by the Commission and the national supervisory authorities and other competent authorities on emerging issues across the internal market with regard to matters covered by this Regulation;
- (c) assist the national supervisory authorities and *the Commission* in ensuring the consistent application of this Regulation.

### Article 3 Definitions

deleted

Article 56 Establishment of the European Artificial Intelligence Board

- 1. A 'European Artificial Intelligence Board' (the 'Board') is established as an independent body with its own legal personality to promote a trustworthy, effective and competitive internal market for artificial intelligence. The Board shall be organised in a way that guarantees the independence, objectivity and impartiality of its activities and shall have a secretariat, a strong mandate as well as sufficient resources and skilled personnel at its disposal for assistance in the proper performance of its tasks laid down in Article 58. (AM 225 EPP, 781 S&D, 789 GUE, 790 Left, 793 S&D)
- 2. The Board shall provide advice and assistance to the Commission and the Member States, when implementing Union law related to artificial intelligence as well as cooperate with the providers and users of AI systems in order to: (AM 782 S&D)
- (a) **promote and support** the effective cooperation of the national supervisory authorities and the Commission; **(AM 783 S&D)**
- (b) coordinate and contribute to guidance and analysis by the Commission and the national supervisory authorities and other competent authorities on emerging issues across the internal market with regard to matters covered by this Regulation;
- (c) assist the *Commission*, national supervisory authorities and *other national competent authorities* in ensuring the consistent application of this Regulation, *in particular in line with the consistency mechanism referred to in Article 59 a(3). (AM 226 EPP)*

(ca) assist providers and users of AI systems to meet the requirements of this Regulation, including those set out in present and future Union legislation, in particular SMEs and startups. (AM 785 S&D)

(cb) provide particular oversight, monitoring and regular dialogue with the providers of general purpose AI systems about their compliance with the Regulation. Any such meeting shall be open to national supervisory authorities, notified bodies and market surveillance authorities to attend and contribute:

(cc) propose amendments to Annex I and III.

2a. The Board shall act as a reference point for advice and expertise for Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies as well as for other relevant stakeholders on matters related to artificial intelligence. (AM 788 S&D)

# Article 57 Mandate and structure of the Board (AM 792 S&D)

1. The Board shall be composed of the national supervisory authorities, who shall be represented by the head or equivalent highlevel official of that authority. Other national authorities may be invited to the meetings, where the issues discussed are of relevance for them. The Board composition shall be gender balanced.

The European Data Protection Supervisor, the Chairperson of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights, the Executive director of the EU Agency for Cybersecurity, the Chair of the High Level Expert Group on AI, the Director-General of the Joint Research Centre, and the presidents of the European Committee for Standardization, the European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute shall be invited as permanent observers with the right to speak but without voting rights. (AM 228 EPP, 795 S&D)

# Article 57 Structure of the Board

1. The Board shall be composed of the national supervisory authorities, who shall be represented by the head or equivalent highlevel official of that authority, *and the* European Data Protection Supervisor. Other national authorities may be invited to the meetings, where the issues discussed are of relevance for them.

- 2. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure by a simple majority of its members, *following the consent of the Commission*. The rules of procedure shall also contain the operational aspects related to the execution of the Board's tasks as listed in Article 58. The Board may establish sub-groups as appropriate for the purpose of examining specific questions.
- 3. The Board shall be chaired by the Commission. The *Commission* shall convene the meetings and prepare the agenda in accordance with the tasks of the Board pursuant to this Regulation and with its rules of procedure. The *Commission* shall provide administrative and analytical support for the activities of the Board pursuant to this Regulation.
- 4. The Board *may* invite external experts-*and* observers to attend its meetings and may hold exchanges with interested third parties to inform its activities to an appropriate extent. To that end the Commission may facilitate exchanges between the Board and other Union bodies, offices, agencies and advisory groups.

- 2. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure by a simple majority of its members with the assistance of its secretariat. The rules of procedure shall also contain the operational aspects related to the execution of the Board's tasks as listed in Article 58. The Board may establish standing or temporary sub-groups as appropriate for the purpose of examining specific questions. (AM 229 EPP, 798 S&D)
- 3. The Board shall be co-chaired by the Commission and a representative chosen from among the delegates of the Member States. The Board's secretariat shall convene the meetings and prepare the agenda in accordance with the tasks of the Board pursuant to this Regulation and with its rules of procedure. The Board's secretariat shall also provide administrative and analytical support for the activities of the Board pursuant to this Regulation. (AM 230 EPP, 798 S&D, 800 ECR)
- 4. The Board *shall regularly* invite external experts, in particular from organisations representing the interests of the providers and users of AI systems, SMEs and start-ups, civil society organisations, trade unions, representatives of affected persons, academia and researchers, testing and experimentation facilities and standardisation organisations, to attend its meetings in order to ensure accountability and appropriate participation of external actors. The agenda and the minutes of its meetings shall be published online. The Commission may facilitate exchanges between the Board and other Union bodies, offices, agencies and advisory groups. (AM 231 EPP, 801 S&D, 802 Greens, 803 S&D, 804 The Left)
- 4 a. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, the Board's Secretariat shall organise four additional meetings between the Board and the High Level Expert Group on Trustworthy AI to allow them to share their practical and technical expertise every quarter of the year.

| Article 58         |
|--------------------|
| Tasks of the Board |

When providing advice and assistance to the Commission in the context of Article 56(2), the Board shall in particular:

(a) collect and share expertise and best practices among Member States;

(b) contribute to uniform administrative practices in the Member States, including for the functioning of regulatory sandboxes referred to in Article 53;

- (c) issue *opinions*, recommendations or written contributions on matters related to the implementation of this Regulation, in particular
- (i) on technical specifications or existing standards regarding the requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2,
- (ii) on the use of harmonised standards or common specifications referred to in Articles 40 and 41,

(iii) on the preparation of guidance documents, including the guidelines concerning the setting of administrative fines referred to in Article 71.

### Article 58 Tasks of the Board

When providing advice and assistance to the Commission *and the Member States (AM 806 S&D)* in the context of Article 56(2), the Board shall in particular:

(a) collect and share expertise and best practices among Member States, *including on the promotion of AI literacy and awareness raising initiatives on Artificial Intelligence and the Regulation;* 

(aa) promote and support the cooperation among national supervisory authorities and the Commission (AM 807 S&D)

- (b) contribute to uniform administrative practices in the Member States, including for the assessment, establishing, managing with the meaning of fostering cooperation and guaranteeing consistency among regulatory sandboxes, and functioning of regulatory sandboxes referred to in Article 53; (AM 232 EPP)
- (c) issue *guidelines*, recommendations or written contributions on matters related to the implementation of this Regulation, in particular *(AM 808 S&D)*
- (i) on technical specifications or existing standards regarding the requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2,
- (ii) on the use of harmonised standards or common specifications referred to in Articles 40 and 41,

(iia) on the provisions related to post market monitoring as referred to in Article 61 (AM 809 S&D).

(iii) on the preparation of guidance documents, including the guidelines concerning the setting of administrative fines referred to in Article 71,

(iii a) on the need for the amendment of each of the Annexes as referred to in Article 73, as

well as all other provisions in this Regulation that the Commission can amend, in light of the available evidence. (AM 233 EPP, 811 S&D, 812 Greens, 813 The Left),

(iii b) on activities and decisions of Member States regarding post-market monitoring, information sharing, market surveillance referred to in Title VIII,

(iii c) on common criteria for market operators and competent authorities having the same understanding of concepts such as the 'generally acknowledged state of the art' referred to in Article 9 (3), 13(1), 14(4), 23a(3) or 52(3a), 'foreseeable risks' referred to in Articles 9 (2) (a), and 'foreseeable misuse' referred to in Article 3 (13), Article 9 (2) (b), Article 9 (4), Article 13 (3)(b)(iii), Article 14 (2) and Article 23a(3c),

(iii d) verify alignment with the legal acts listed in Annex II, including with the implementation matters related to those acts,

(iii e) on the respect of the general principles applicable to all AI systems referred to in Article 4a.

(ca) carry out annual reviews and analyses of the complaints sent to and findings made by national supervisory authorities, of the serious incidents and malfunctioning reports referred to in Article 62, and of the new registration in the EU Database referred to in Article 60 to identify trends and potential emerging issues threatening the future health and safety and fundamental rights of citizens that are not adequately addressed by this Regulation; (AM 234 EPP, 784 The Left, 815 S&D)

(cb) carry out biannual horizontal scanning and foresight exercises to extrapolate the impact that scientific developments, trends and emerging issues can have on the Union; (AM 235 EPP, 810 The Left)

(cc) annually publish recommendations to the Commission, in particular on the categorization of prohibited practices, highrisk systems, and codes of conduct for Al systems that are not classified as high-risk; (AM 236 EPP)

(cd) encourage and facilitate the drawing up of codes of conduct as referred to in Article 69; (AM 237 EPP)

(ce) coordinate among national competent authorities and make sure that the consistency mechanism in Article 59a(3) is observed, in particular for all major cross-border cases; (AM 238 EPP)

(cf) adopt binding decisions for national supervisory authorities in case the consistency mechanism is not able to solve the conflict among national supervisory authorities as it is clarified in Article 59a (6). (AM 239 EPP)

(cg) provide guidance material to providers and users regarding the compliance with the requirements set out in this Regulation. In particular, it shall issue guidelines:

i) for the trustworthy AI technical assessment referred to in Article 4a,

ii) for the methods for performing the conformity assessment based on internal control referred to Article 43;

iii) to facilitate compliance with the reporting of serious incidents or malfunctioning referred to in Article 62;

iv) on any other concrete procedures to be performed by providers and users when complying with this Regulation, in particular those regarding the documentation to be delivered to notified bodies and methods to provide authorities with other relevant information. (AM 817 S&D)

(ch) provide specific guidance to support SMEs and start-ups in complying with the obligations set out in this Regulation; (AM 818 S&D)

(ci) raise awareness and provide guidance material to providers and users regarding the compliance with the requirement to put in place tools and measures to ensure a sufficient level of AI literacy in line with Article 4b; (AM 819 S&D)

- (cj) contribute to the Union efforts to cooperate with third countries and international organisations in view of promoting a common global approach towards trustworthy AI; (AM 820 S&D)
- (ck) issue yearly reports on the implementation of the Regulation, including an assessment of the impact of the Regulation on economic operators;
- (cl) provide guidance on the governance of research and development.

Article 59
Designation of national supervisory authorities

1. National competent authorities shall be established or designated by each Member State for the purpose of ensuring the application and implementation of this Regulation. National competent authorities shall be organised so as to safeguard the objectivity and impartiality of their activities

and tasks.

Article 59

Designation of national competent authorities

- 1. Each Member State shall establish or designate one national supervisory authority, which shall be organised so as to safeguard the objectivity and impartiality of its (AM 240 EPP,) activities and tasks.
- 2. Each Member State shall designate a national supervisory authority among the national competent authorities. The national supervisory authority shall act as notifying authority and market surveillance authority unless a Member State has organisational and administrative reasons to designate more than one authority.
- 2. The national supervisory authority shall be in charge to ensure the application and implementation of this Regulation. With regard to high-risk AI systems, related to products to which legal acts listed in Annex II apply, the competent authorities designated under those legal acts shall continue to lead the administrative procedures. However, to the extent a case involves aspects covered by this Regulation, the competent authorities shall be bound by measures issued by the national supervisory authority designated under this Regulation. The national supervisory authority shall also act as notifying authority and market surveillance authority. (AM 241 EPP)
- 3. Member States shall inform the Commission of their *designation or* designations-*and, where*
- 3. The national competent authority in each Member State shall be the lead authority, ensure adequate coordination and act as

### applicable, the reasons for designating more than one authority.

4. Member States shall ensure that national competent authorities are provided with adequate financial and human resources to fulfil their tasks under this Regulation. In particular, national competent authorities shall have a sufficient number of personnel permanently available whose competences and expertise shall include an in-depth understanding of artificial intelligence technologies, data and data computing, fundamental rights, health and safety risks and knowledge of existing standards and legal requirements.

- 5. Member States shall report to the Commission on an annual basis on the status of the financial and human resources of the national *competent authorities* with an assessment of their adequacy. The Commission shall transmit that information to the Board for discussion and possible recommendations.
- 6. The Commission shall facilitate the exchange of experience between national competent authorities.

- single point of contact for this Regulation.
  Member States shall inform the Commission of their designations. In addition, the central contact point of each Member State should be contactable through electronic communications means. (AM 242 EPP)
- 4. Member States shall ensure that national *supervisory authority is* provided with adequate financial and human resources to fulfil *its* tasks under this Regulation. In particular, national *supervisory* authorities shall have a sufficient number of permanently available *personnel*, whose competences and expertise shall include an in-depth understanding of artificial intelligence technologies, data, *data protection* and data computing, *cybersecurity*, *competition law*, fundamental rights, health and safety risks *as well as* knowledge of existing standards and legal requirements. *(AM 243 EPP)*
- 4a. The national competent authority shall satisfy the minimum cybersecurity requirements set out for public administration entities identified as operators of essential services pursuant to Directive (...) on measures for a high common level of cybersecurity across the Union, repealing Directive (EU) 2016/1148. (AM 824 ECR)
- 4b. Any information and documentation obtained by the national supervisory authority pursuant to the provisions of this Article shall be treated in compliance with the confidentiality obligations set out in Article 70. (AM 825 ECR)
- 5. Member States shall report to the Commission on an annual basis on the status of the financial and human resources of the national *supervisory authority* with an assessment of their adequacy. The Commission shall transmit that information to the Board for discussion and possible recommendations. (AM 244 EPP)
- 6. The Commission *and the Board* shall facilitate the exchange of experience between national supervisory authorities. (AM 245 EPP)

- 7. National competent authorities may provide guidance and advice on the implementation of this Regulation, including to small-scale providers. Whenever national competent authorities intend to provide guidance and advice with regard to an AI system in areas covered by other Union legislation, the competent national authorities under that Union legislation shall be consulted, as appropriate. Member States may also establish one central contact point for communication with operators.
- 8. When Union institutions, agencies and bodies fall within the scope of this Regulation, the European Data Protection Supervisor shall act as the competent authority for their supervision.
- 7. National *supervisory* authorities may provide guidance and advice on the implementation of this Regulation, including to *SMEs and start-ups, as long as it is not in contradiction with the Board's or the Commission's guidance and advice*. Whenever national *supervisory* authorities intend to provide guidance and advice with regard to an Al system in areas covered by other Union legislation, the competent national authorities under that Union legislation shall be consulted as appropriate. *(AM 246 EPP, 828 ECR, 829 RE)*
- 8. When Union institutions, agencies and bodies fall within the scope of this Regulation, the European Data Protection Supervisor shall act as the competent authority for their supervision *and coordination*. (EPP 247 EPP)

# Article 59 a Consistency mechanism for cross-border cases

- 1. Each national supervisory authority shall perform the tasks assigned to and the exercise of the powers conferred on it in accordance with this Regulation on the territory of its own Member State.
- 2. In the event of a cross-border case involving two or more national supervisory authorities, the national supervisory authority of the Member State where the provider's or user's place of central administration in the Union is established or where the authorised representative is appointed, shall be competent to act as lead national supervisory authority for a cross-border case that involves an Al-system.
- 3. In the case referred to in paragraph 2, the national supervisory authorities shall cooperate, exchange all relevant information with each other in due time, provide mutual assistance and execute joint operations. National supervisory authorities shall cooperate in order to reach a consensus.
- 4. In case of a serious disagreement between two or more national supervisory authorities, the lead national supervisory authority shall

notify the Board and communicate without delay all relevant information related to the case to the Board.

5. The Board shall within three months of the notification referred to in paragraph 4, issue a binding decision to the national supervisory authorities.(AM 248 EPP, 823 The Left)

# Article 84 Evaluation and review

4a. Within [three years after the date of application of this Regulation referred to in Article 85(2)], the Commission shall evaluate the effectiveness of the Board to carry out its tasks and assess whether an EU Agency would be best placed to ensure an effective and harmonised implementation of this Regulation.

CA 9 (individual rights) Recital 80a new Title X Article 69a new Article 69b new Article 69c new Article 69d new

| Commission | JURI COMPs                                       |
|------------|--|
|            | Recital (80a) (new)                              |
|            | Natural or legal persons affected by decisions   |
|            | made by AI systems which produce legal           |
|            | effects that adversely affect their health,      |
|            | safety, fundamental rights, socio-economic       |
|            | well-being or any other of their rights deriving |
|            | from the obligations established in this         |
|            | Regulation, should be entitled to an             |
|            | explanation of that decision. Such an            |
|            | explanation is to be provided to the affected    |
|            | persons and, therefore, when providing such      |
|            | an explanation, providers and users should       |
|            | duly take into account that the level of         |
|            | expertise and knowledge of the average           |
|            | consumer or citizen regarding AI systems is      |
|            | limited and much lower than the one that they    |
|            | possess. On the other hand, some AI systems      |
|            | cannot provide an explanation for their          |

decisions beyond the initial input data. When AI systems are required to provide an explanation and cannot, they should clearly state that an explanation cannot be provided. This should be taken into account by any administrative, non-administrative or judicial authority addressing complaints from affected persons.

Title X

**CONFIDENTIALITY AND PENALTIES** 

Title X

CONFIDENTIALITY, **REMEDIES** AND PENALTIES **(AM 877 S&D)** 

Article 69 a Right to lodge a complaint before a supervisory authority

- 1. Without prejudice to any other administrative or judicial remedy, every natural or legal person shall have the right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority, in particular in the Member State of his or her habitual residence, place of work or place of the alleged infringement if the natural or legal person considers that their health, safety, fundamental rights, their right to an explanation or any other of their rights deriving from the obligations established in this Regulation have been breached by the provider or the user of an AI system falling within the scope of this Regulation. Such complaint may be lodged through a representative action for the protection of the collective interests of consumers as provided under Directive (EU) 2020/1828.
- 2. Natural or legal persons shall have a right to be heard in the complaint handling procedure and in the context of any investigations conducted by the national supervisory authority as a result of their complaint.
- 3. The national supervisory authority with which the complaint has been lodged shall inform the complainants about the progress and outcome of their complaint. In particular, the national supervisory authority shall take all the necessary actions to follow up on the complaints it receives and, within three

months of the reception of a complaint, give the complainant a preliminary response indicating the measures it intends to take and the next steps in the procedure, if any.

4. The national supervisory authority shall take a decision on the complaint and inform the complainant on the progress and the outcome of the complaint, including the possibility of a judicial remedy pursuant to Article 68b, without delay and no later than six months after the date on which the complaint was lodged. (AM 260 EPP, AM 858 Greens, AM 863 The Left, AM 884 S&D)

### Article 69 b

Right to an effective judicial remedy against a national supervisory authority

- 1. Without prejudice to any other administrative or non-judicial remedy, each natural or legal person shall have the right to an effective judicial or non-judicial remedy, including repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination, reimbursement of the price paid or compensation for material and immaterial damages, against a legally binding decision of a national supervisory authority concerning them that infringes their rights.
- 2. Without prejudice to any other administrative or non-judicial remedy, each affected person shall have the right to an effective judicial remedy where the national supervisory authority does not handle a complaint, does not inform the complainant on the progress or preliminary outcome of the complaint lodged within three months pursuant to Article 68a(3) or does not comply with its obligation to reach a final decision on the complaint within six months pursuant to Article 68a(4) or its obligations under Article 65.
- 3. Proceedings against a supervisory authority shall be brought before the courts of the Member State where the national supervisory authority is established. (AM 860 Greens, AM 863 Left, AM 885 S&D)

### Article 69c Right to an explanation

- 1. Any affected persons subject to a decision taken by a provider or an user, on the basis of an output from an AI system falling within the scope of this Regulation, which produces legal effects that they consider to adversely impact their health, safety, fundamental rights, socioeconomic well-being or any other of their rights deriving from the obligations established in this Regulation, shall receive from the provider or the user, at the time when the decision is communicated, a clear and meaningful explanation pursuant to Article 13 (1) on the role of the AI system in the decision-making procedure, the main parameters of the decision taken and on the related input data.
- 2. Paragraph 1 shall not apply to the use of Al systems:
- (a) for which exceptions from, or restrictions to, the obligation under paragraph 1 follow from Union or national law, which lays down other appropriate safeguards for the affected persons' rights, freedoms and legitimate interests; or
- (b) where the affected person has given free, explicit, specific and informed consent not to receive an explanation. The affected person shall have the right to withdraw his or her consent not to receive an explanation at any time. Prior to give consent, the affected person shall be informed thereof. It shall be as easy to withdraw as to give consent. (AM 504 Greens, AM 718 RE, AM 882 S&D, AM 883 S&D).

### Article 69d Representative actions

1. The following is added to Annex I of Directive 2020/1828/EC on Representative actions for the protection of the collective interests of consumers:

"Regulation xxxx/xxxx of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (artificial intelligence act) and amending certain union legislative acts". (AM 887 S&D)

### CA 10 Recital 85 Recital 86a new

### Commission JURI COMPs

Recital (85) In order to ensure that the regulatory framework can be adapted where necessary, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission to amend the techniques and approaches referred to in Annex I to define AI systems, the Union harmonisation legislation listed in Annex II, the high-risk AI systems listed in Annex III, the provisions regarding technical documentation listed in Annex IV, the content of the EU declaration of conformity in Annex V, the provisions regarding the conformity assessment procedures in Annex VI and VII and the provisions establishing the high-risk AI systems to which the conformity assessment procedure based on assessment of the quality management system and assessment of the technical documentation should apply. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making 58. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Recital (85) In order to ensure that the regulatory framework can be adapted where necessary, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 TFEU should be delegated to the Commission to amend the techniques and approaches referred to in Annex I to define AI systems, the Union harmonisation legislation listed in Annex II, the high-risk AI systems listed in Annex III. the provisions regarding technical documentation listed in Annex IV, the content of the EU declaration of conformity in Annex V, the provisions regarding the conformity assessment procedures in Annex VI and VII and the provisions establishing the high-risk AI systems to which the conformity assessment procedure based on assessment of the quality management system and assessment of the technical documentation should apply. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making<sup>58</sup>. *These consultations* should involve the participation of a balanced selection of stakeholders, including consumer organisations, associations representing affected persons, business representatives from different sectors and of different sizes, trade unions as well as researchers and scientists. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts

systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. (AM 429 Greens)

### Recital 86 a new

Given the rapid technological developments and the required technical expertise in conducting the assessment of high-risk AI systems, the delegation of powers and the implementing powers of the Commission should be exercised with as much flexibility as possible. The Commission should regularly review Annex III without undue delay while consulting with the relevant stakeholders. (AM 430 Greens)